

Individual Student Record Review Form: Identification/ Specific Learning Disability

THE FOLLOWING PAGES ARE REQUIRED FOR EACH STUDENT

Student Identifier:	Reviewer:	Date:	

Randomly select five (5) student records for this review. To randomly select student files, begin with a comprehensive list of students in the defined category (e.g., Black students identified with a specific learning disability). Use a random number generator (e.g., the RAND function in Excel) to select the desired number of files. If a selected file is inappropriate for some reason, document thoroughly why it is inappropriate - this becomes a part of the record. Replace any such files with a new randomly selected file.

Request the followings items from each child's file: 1. Individualized Education Plan (IEP) 2. Multi-disciplinary Team (MDT) report

Specific Learning Disability (SLD) Identification and Eligibility for Special Education

Description: Relevant records of students with disabilities will be reviewed to determine if the child was appropriately evaluated and met the criteria for the disabilities with which they were identified. For each child, complete the evaluation questions and then disability-specific questions.

SLD Area 1: Comprehensive Evaluation					
92 Neb. Admin. Code <u>§§ 51-006.02C10</u> , <u>-006.02C5</u> , <u>-006.04K</u> ; 34 C.F.R. <u>§§ 300.8(c)(10)</u> , <u>300.304(b)</u> , <u>(c)(4)</u> , <u>300.305</u> Did the district assess the child in all areas related to the suspected disability, including the following:			District Response	District Response: If yes, provide evidence: document name(s), page numbers, paragraph/section	
1a	§ 51-006.02C10; § 300.304(c)(4)	State assessments	Yes No		
1b	§ 51-006.02C10; § 300.304(c)(4)	Universal screening data	Yes No		
1c	§ 51-006.02C10; § 300.304(c)(4)	Formative assessments (progress monitoring)	Yes No		



92 Neb. Admin. Code <u>§§ 51-006.02C10</u> , <u>-006.02C5</u> , <u>-006.04K</u> ; 34 C.F.R. <u>§§ 300.8(c)(10)</u> , <u>300.304(b)</u> , <u>(c)(4)</u> , <u>300.305</u> Did the district assess the child in all areas related to the suspected disability, including the following:			District Response	District Response: If yes, provide evidence: document name(s), page numbers, paragraph/section
1d*	§ 51-006.04K7; § 300.310	Direct observations in natural environments or classroom (to include observations related to both	Yes No	
1e	§ 51-006.02C10; § 300.304(c)(4)	academic performance and behavior)	Yes No	
1f	§ 51-006.02C10; § 300.304(c)(4)	Norm-referenced assessments	Yes No	
1g	§ 51-006.02C10; § 300.304(c)(4)	Criterion-referenced academic assessments	Yes No	
1h	§ 51-006.02C5; § 300.304(b)	Any relevant medical information	Yes No	
1i	§ 51-006.02C10; § 300.304(c)(4)	Parent/caregiver input (e.g., interviews, ratings scales, history)	Yes No	
1j		Other assessments included:		
1k*	§ 51-006.02C10; § 300.304	All other referral concerns	Yes No	
1l*	§ 51-006.02C10; § 300.304(c)(4)	Did the district ensure the child was assessed in all areas related to the suspected disability?	Yes No	



District Response: If you answered "No" to any items above, provide explanation or relevant information:

State compliance review notes and items with potential findings of noncompliance:



92 Ne	eb. Admin. Code §§	linary evaluation team (MDT) 51-003.41, <u>-006.03, -006.04K;</u> 34 C.F.R. <u>§§ 300.301(c), 300.305(a)(2)</u> , 08, <u>300.309</u> , <u>300.310</u> , <u>300.311</u>	District Response	District Response: If yes, provide evidence: document name(s), page numbers, paragraph/section
2a*	\$\$ 51-006.04K2, -006.03B \$\$ 300.306(a)(1), 300.308	Did the MDT include all the following?Special educator with knowledge of specific learning disabilities (SLDs)YesChild's parentYesThe child's teacher or another teacher qualified to teach a child of that ageYesPerson qualified to conduct initial individual diagnostic examinations of childrenYesDistrict administrator or designated representativeYesIf the child attends a non-public school, an administrator or designated representativeYes	Yes No	
2b*	§ 51-006.04K3; § 300.309(a)(1)	Child does not achieve adequately for the child's age or meet State-approved grade-level standards in one or more of the following areas, when provided with learning experiences and instruction appropriate for the child's age or State- approved grade level standards: Oral expression, listening comprehension, written expression, basic reading skill, reading fluency skills, reading comprehension, mathematics calculation, mathematics problem solving?	Yes No	
2c*	§ 51-006.04K3; § 300.309(a)(2)	Child does not make sufficient progress to meet age or State-approved grade-level standard in one or more of the areas identified in question 2b when using a process based on the child's response to scientific, research-based intervention, OR child exhibits a pattern of performance and/or achievement that is relevant to SLD, using appropriate assessments ?	Yes No	



92 Ne <u>300.3</u>	eb. Admin. Code <u>§§ !</u> 306, <u>300.307</u> , <u>300.3</u>	51-003.41, <u>-006.03, -006.04K; 34 C.F.R. §§ 300.301(c), 300.305(a)(2),</u> 08, <u>300.309</u> , <u>300.310, 300.311</u>	Did the MDT report include a statement of the child's educational needs?	District Response: If yes, provide evidence: document name(s), page numbers, paragraph/section	
2d*	§ 51-006.04K8a; § 300.310(b)(1)	Did the MDT use information from an observation in routine classroom instruction and monitoring of the child's performance that was done before the child was referred for an evaluation? OR Has at least one MDT member conducted an observation of the child's academic performance in the regular classroom (or other appropriate environment, if child is less than school age or out of school) after the referral for evaluation and parental consent?	Yes No		
2e*	§§ 51-006.03F1, -006.03F4; § 300.306(a)(2)	Was an MDT report prepared, that included documentation of determination of eligibility, and a copy provided to the parent at no cost?	Yes No		
2f*	§ 51-006.03F2a; § 300.311(a)(1)	Did the MDT report include a statement of whether the child has a SLD?	Yes No		
2g*	§ 51-006.03F2b; § 300.306(a)(1)	Did the MDT report include a statement of the child's educational needs?	Yes No		
2h*	§ 51-006.03F2c; § 300.311(a)(2)	Did the MDT report a statement of the basis for making the determination?	Yes No		
2i*	§ 51-006.03F2d; § 300.311(a)(3)	Did the MDT report a statement of the relevant behavior, if any, noted during the observation of the child and the relationship of that behavior to the child's academic functioning?	Yes No N/A		
2j*	§ 51-006.03F2e; § 300.311(a)(4)	Did the MDT report a statement of the educationally relevant medical findings, if any?	Yes No N/A		
2k*	§ 51-006.03F2f; §300.311(a)(5)	Did the MDT report a statement of whether the child meets the required criteria?	Yes No		
*					



		<u>-003.41, -006.03, -006.04K; 34 C.F.R. §§ 300.301(c)</u> , <u>300.305(a)(2)</u> , 3, <u>300.309</u> , <u>300.310</u> , <u>300.311</u>	Did the MDT report include a statement of the child's educational needs?	District Response: If yes, provide evidence: document name(s), page numbers, paragraph/section
2l*	§ 51-006.03F2g; § 300.309(a)(3); § 300.311(a)(6)	Did the MDT report a statement of the determination of the team concerning effects of a visual, hearing, or motor disability; intellectual disability; emotional disturbance; cultural factors; environmental or economic disadvantage; or limited English proficiency on the child's achievement level?	Yes No	
2m*	§ 51-006.03F2h(1); § 300.311(a)(7)(ii)(A)	If the district used an RTI process, did the MDT report a statement that the child's parents were notified about the district's policies regarding the amount and nature of performance data that would be collected and the general education services that would be provided?	Yes No N/A	
2n*	§ 51-006.03F2h(2); § 300.311(a)(7)(ii)(B)	If the district used an RTI process, did the MDT report a statement that the child's parents were notified about strategies for increasing the child's rate of learning?	Yes No N/A	
2o*	§ 51-006.03F2h(3); § 300.311(a)(7)(ii)(C)	If the district used an RTI process, did the MDT report a statement that the child's parents were notified about their right to request an evaluation?	Yes No N/A	
2p*	§ 51-006.03F2i	Did the MDT report include a listing of the team members?	Yes No	
2q*	§ 51-006.03F3; § 300.311(b)	Did each member certify in writing whether the report reflects their conclusion?	Yes No	
2r*	§ 51-006.03F3; § 300.311(b)	If any member certified that the report did not reflect their conclusion, did that team member submit a separate statement presenting his or her conclusion?	Yes No N/A	



District Response: If you answered "No" to any items above, provide explanation or relevant information:

State compliance review notes and items with potential findings of noncompliance:



SLD Area 3: Eligibility Determination				
92 Neb. Admin. Code <u>§§ 51-006.03D</u> , <u>-006.04K</u> , <u>-006.06A2c</u> ; 34 C.F.R. <u>§§ 300.306</u> , <u>300.309</u>		District Response	District Response: If yes, provide evidence: document name(s), page numbers, paragraph/section	
3a*	§ 51-006.04K1; § 300.8(c)(10)	Did the evaluation results demonstrate the presence of the disability as defined by 92 Neb. Admin. Code § 51-006.04K1 and 34 C.F.R. § 300.8(c)(10)?	Yes No	
3b*	§ 51-006.06A2c; § 300.306(c)(2)	Is there documentation of a need for special education and related services?	Yes No	
3c*	\$ 51-006.03D; \$ 300.306(c)(2)	Was an IEP developed?	Yes No	

District Response: If you answered "**No**" to any items above, provide explanation or relevant information:

State compliance review notes and items with potential findings of noncompliance:



Individual Student Record Review Form: Identification/ Specific Learning Disability

General Notes