

### Individual Student Record Review Form: <a href="Identification/Emotional Disturbance">Identification/Emotional Disturbance</a>

THE FOLLOWING PAGES ARE REQUIRED FOR EACH STUDENT

Student Identifier:	Reviewer:	Date:	
Black students identified with emotion	al disturbance). Use a random number gene	t files, begin with a comprehensive list of stuator (e.g., the RAND function in Excel) to seleptiate - this becomes a part of the record.	lect the desired number of files. If a

Request the followings items from each child's file:

1. Individualized Education Plan (IEP)

2. Multi-disciplinary Team (MDT) report

### Emotional Disturbance (ED) Identification and Eligibility for Special Education

**Description**: Relevant records of students with disabilities will be reviewed to determine if the child was appropriately evaluated and met the criteria for the disabilities with which they were identified. For each child, complete the evaluation questions and then disability-specific questions.

ED Area 1: Comprehensive Evaluation				
92 Neb. Admin. Code §§ 51-006.02C10, -006.02C5, -006.04E; 34 C.F.R. §§ 300.8(c)(4), 300.304(c)(4), 300.305 Did the district assess the child in all areas related to the suspected disability, including the following:		District Response	District Response: If yes, provide evidence: document name(s), page numbers, paragraph/section	
1a	§§ 51-006.02C5, -006.04E; §§ 300.8(c)(4), 300.305(a)	Observational behavior data (e.g., frequency, intensity, or duration) under normal circumstances?	Yes No	
1b	§ 51-006.02C10; § 300.304(c)(4)	Indirect measures of behavior (e.g., checklist, rating scales, or interviews)?	Yes No	



92 Neb. Admin. Code §§ 51-006.02C10, -006.02C5, -006.04E; 34 C.F.R. §§ 300.8(c)(4), 300.304(c)(4), 300.305 Did the district assess the child in all areas related to the suspected disability, including the following:		District Response	District Response: If yes, provide evidence: document name(s), page numbers, paragraph/section	
1c	§ 51-006.02C10; § 300.304(c)(4)	Social-affective data (e.g., self-concept inventories, feelings scales, interviews)?	Yes No	
1d	§ 51-006.02C10; § 300.304(c)(4)	Academic achievement data (e.g., individual achievement tests, classroom assessments, norm-referenced testing, state- and district-wide assessment, curriculum-based measures)?	Yes No	
1e	§ 51-006.02C5; § 300.304(b)(2)	Developmental data?	Yes No	
1f	§ 51-006.02C5; § 300.305(a)	Intervention data, if applicable?	Yes No	
1g*	§ 51-006.02C5; § 300.304(b)	Parent input (e.g., interviews, rating scales, checklists)?	Yes No	
1h		Other assessments included:		
1i*	§ 51-006.02C10; § 300.304(c)(4)	All other referral concerns?	Yes No	
1j*	§ 51-006.02C10; § 300.304(c)(4)	Did the district ensure the child was assessed in all areas related to the suspected disability?	Yes No	

<sup>\*</sup>Compliance item.



District Response: If you answered "No" to any items above, provide explanation or relevant information:
State compliance review notes and items with potential findings of noncompliance:
State compliance review notes and items with potential findings of noncompliance:
State compliance review notes and items with potential findings of noncompliance:
State compliance review notes and items with potential findings of noncompliance:
State compliance review notes and items with potential findings of noncompliance:
State compliance review notes and items with potential findings of noncompliance:
State compliance review notes and items with potential findings of noncompliance:



ED Area 2: Multidisciplinary evaluation team (MDT)				
92 Neb. Admin. Code §§ 51-003.41, -006.03; 34 C.F.R. §§ 300.301(c), 300.305(a)(2), 300.306		District Response	District Response: If yes, provide evidence: document name(s), page numbers, paragraph/section	
2a*	§§ 51-003.41, -006.03B	Did the MDT include all the following?  Qualified professionals Yes No  Child's parent Yes No  If the child attends a non-public school, an administrator or designated representative Yes No  N/A	Yes No	
2b*	§ 51-006.03C; § 300.306(b)(1)(i)	Did the MDT determine that the identification of child with a disability was not primarily due to a lack of appropriate instruction in reading?	Yes No	
2c*	§ 51-006.03C; § 300.306(b)(1)(ii)	Did the MDT determine that the identification of child with a disability was not primarily due to a lack of appropriate instruction in math?	Yes No	
2d*	§ 51-006.03C; § 300.306(b)(1)(iii)	Did the MDT determine that the identification of child with a disability was not primarily due to limited English proficiency?	Yes No	
2e*	§ 51-006.03E1; § 300.306(a)(2)	Was an MDT report prepared, that included documentation of determination of eligibility, and a copy provided to the parent at no cost?	Yes No	
2f*	§ 51-006.03E2a; §§ 300.301(c)(2), 300.306(a)(1)	Did the MDT report include a statement of whether the child met the disability definition based on the required criteria?	Yes No	
2g*	§ 51-006.03E2b; § 300.306(a)(1)	Did the MDT report include a statement of the child's educational needs?	Yes No	

<sup>\*</sup>Compliance item.



92 N	eb. Admin. Code <u>§§ 5</u>	51-003.41, -006.03; 34 C.F.R. §§ 300.301(c), 300.305(a)(2), 300.306	District Response	District Response: If yes, provide evidence: document name(s), page numbers, paragraph/section		
2h*	§ 51-006.03E2c	Did the MDT report a statement of the basis for making the determination?	Yes No			
2i*	§ 51-006.03E2d	Did the MDT report include a listing of the team members?	Yes No			
2j*	§ 51-006.03E3	Did each member certify in writing if the report reflects their conclusion?	Yes No			
2k*	§ 51-006.03E4	If any member certified that the report did not reflect their conclusion, did that team member submit a separate statement?	Yes No			
Distri	ct Response: If you a	nswered "No" to any items above, provide explanation or relevant information:				
State compliance review notes and items with potential findings of noncompliance:						
	State compliance review notes and items with potential findings of noncompliance:					



ED Area 3: Eligibility Determination					
92 N 300.3		1-006.03D, -006.04E; 34 C.F.R. §§ 300.8(a), (c)(4), 300.305(a)(2)(iii),	District Response	District Response: If yes, provide evidence: document name(s), page numbers, paragraph/section	
3a*	§ 51006.04E; §§ 300.8(a), (c)(4)	Did the evaluation results demonstrate the presence of the disability of ED as defined by 92 Neb. Admin. Code § 51-006.04E and 34 C.F.R. § 300.8(c)(4)?	Yes No		
3b*	§ 51006.04E; §§ 300.8(c)(4), 300.305(a)(2)(iii)	Is there documentation of adverse effect on educational performance and a need for special education services?	Yes No		
3c*	§ 51006.03D; § 300.306(c)(2)	Was an IEP developed ?	Yes No		
Distri	District Response: If you answered "No" to any items above, provide explanation or relevant information:				
State compliance review notes and items with potential findings of noncompliance:					



General Notes	