

THE FOLLOWING PAGES ARE REQUIRED FOR EACH STUDENT

Studen	t Identifier:	Reviewer:	Date:			
(e.g., Bl	ack students identified appropriate for some	l with a disability). Use a random number generate	lent files, begin with a comprehensive list of students in the de or (e.g., the RAND function in Excel) to select the desired numb ate – this becomes a part of the record. Replace any such files v	er of files. If a selected		
criteria	Description: Relevant records of students with disabilities will be reviewed to determine if the child was appropriately evaluated and met the criteria for the disabilities with which they were identified. For each child, complete the evaluation questions and then disability-specific questions.  List all assessments used to evaluate the child:					
Aros	1. Evaluation Quer	tions Applicable to All Disabilities				
				District Dosponer		
92 N	eb. Admin. Code <u>§ 5</u>	1-006.02C; 34 C.F.R. § 300.304; § 300.306		District Response		
1a*	§ 51-006.02C5; § 300.304(b)(1)	Did the evaluation include a variety of assessm developmental, and academic information about	ent tools and strategies to gather relevant functional, out the child?	Yes No		
1b*	§ 51-006.02C5; §		to enabling the child to be involved in and progress ge-appropriate activities (for children ages 3–5 not yet	Yes No		

300.304(b)(1)(ii)

in kindergarten)?

<sup>\*</sup>Compliance item.



92 Neb. Admin. Code § 51-006.02C; 34 C.F.R. § 300.304; § 300.306			District Response
1c*	§ 51-006.02C14a, b; § 300.306(c)(1)	Does the evaluation show documentation and consideration of information obtained from a variety of sources, including aptitude and achievement tests, parent input, teacher recommendations, physical condition, social or cultural background, and adaptive behavior?	Yes No
1d*	§ 51-006.02C7; § 300.304(c)(2)	Did the tests and other evaluation materials used include those tailored to assess specific areas of educational need and not merely those that are designed to provide a single general intelligence quotient?	Yes No
1e*	§ 51-006.02C8; § 300.304(c)(3)	Were the tests selected and administered to ensure that the results accurately reflect the child's aptitude or achievement level and not the child's impaired sensory, manual, or speaking skills (unless those skills are the factors that the test purports to measure)?	Yes No
1f*	§ 51-006.2C1a; § 300.304(c)(1)(i)	Were the assessments and other evaluation materials selected and administered so as not to be discriminatory on a racial or cultural basis?	Yes No
1g*	§ 51-006.2C6; § 300.304(c)(1)(iii)	Were the assessments and other evaluation materials used for the purposes for which they are valid and reliable?	Yes No
1h*	§ 51-006.2C6; § 300.304(c)(1)(iv), (v)	Were the assessments or tests administered by trained and knowledgeable personnel in accordance with any instructions provided by the producer of the assessments?	Yes No
1i*	§ 51-006.02C6b(i)	If an assessment was not conducted under standard conditions, does the evaluation report contain a description of the extent to which it varied from standard conditions (e.g., the qualifications of the person administering the test, or the method of the test administration)?	Yes No
1j*	§ 51-006.02C9; § 300.304(b)(2)	Was more than one measure or assessment used to determine whether a child is a child with a disability and for determining an appropriate educational program for the child?	Yes No
1k*	§ 51-006.02C11; § 300.304(c)(6)	Was the evaluation sufficiently comprehensive to identify all of the child's special education and related services needs, whether or not commonly linked to the disability category in which the child has been classified?	Yes No

<sup>\*</sup>Compliance item.



92 N	District Response				
11*	§ 51-006.02C12; § 300.304(b)(3)	Did the district use technically sound instruments?	Yes No		
1m*	§ 51-006.02C13; § 300.304(c)(7)	Did the district use assessment tools and strategies that provide relevant information to determine the child's educational needs?	Yes No		
Distr	ict Response: If you ar	swered " <b>No</b> " to any items above, provide explanation or relevant information:			
State compliance review notes and items with potential findings of noncompliance:					

\*Compliance item.



Area 2: English Learners or Children Using Other Modes of Communication			N/A if the child's primary language is English (If N/A, skip this section)
92 N	eb. Admin. Code <u>§ 51</u>	I-006.2C; 34 C.F.R. § 300.304(c)	District Response
		Child's primary language or other mode of communication:	
2a		Language or mode of communications of the assessments and other evaluation materials:	
		If the child was an English Learner, indicate the English proficiency level:	
2b*	§ 51-006.2C1b; § 300.304(c)(1)(ii)	Were the assessments and other evaluation materials administered in the child's native language or other mode of communication and in the way likely to yield accurate information on what the child knows and can do academically, developmentally, and functionally, unless it is clearly not feasible to so provide or administer?	Yes No
2c*	§ 51-006.02C4	If the child has limited English proficiency, were the materials and procedures used to assess the child selected and administered in a way that measures the extent to which the child has a disability, rather than measuring the child's English language skills?	Yes No N/A

<sup>\*</sup>Compliance item.



District Response: If you ans	wered " <b>No</b> " to any items above, provide explanation or relevant information:
State compliance review no	tes and items with potential findings of noncompliance:
reace compliance review no	



Area 3: Student Transferred into District during Evaluation			N/A if the child did not transfer into the district during the evaluation (If N/A, skip this section)
92 N	eb. Admin. Code § 5	<u>1-006.02C; 34 C.F.R. § 300.304(c)</u>	District Response
3a		Date of child's transfer:  Date the parent and district agreed the evaluation would be completed:  Date of the completed evaluation:	
3b*	§ 51006.02C3; § 300.304(c)(5)	Was the evaluation completed promptly?	Yes No
3c*	§ 51006.02C3; § 300.304(c)(5)	Did the district coordinate with the prior school to complete the evaluation?	Yes No
3d*	§ 51006.02C3; § 300.304(c)(5)	Did the child's prior school or cooperative share all necessary information with the current school?	Yes No

<sup>\*</sup>Compliance item.



ompliance review notes and items with potential findings of noncompliance:	



General Notes	