

Student Identifier:

§ 51-006.02C10;

§ 51-006.02C10;

§ 300.304

§ 300.304

1c

1d

Individual Student Record Review Form: Identification/Autism

Date:

THE FOLLOWING PAGES ARE REQUIRED FOR EACH STUDENT

Reviewer:

Autism screening or assessment tool

Teacher input

file is inappropriate for some reason, document thoroughly why it is inappropriate – this becomes a part of the record. Replace any such files with a new randomly selected file.			
Autism Identification and Eligibility for Special Education			
Description: Relevant records of students with disabilities will be reviewed to determine if the child was appropriately evaluated and met the criteria for the disabilities with which they were identified. For each child, complete the evaluation questions and then disability-specific questions. Autism Area 1: Comprehensive Evaluation			
92 Neb. Admin. Code § 51-006.02C10; 006.04B; 34 C.F.R. § 300.304(c)(4); § 300.305 Did the district assess the child in all areas related to the suspected disability, including the following: District Response			
1a	§ 51-006.02C10	Behavioral observations	Yes No
1b	§ 51-006.02C10; § 300.304	Developmental history	Yes No

Randomly select five (5) student records for this review. To randomly select student files, begin with a comprehensive list of students in the defined category

No

No

Yes



92 Neb. Admin. Code § 51-006.02C10; 006.04B; 34 C.F.R. § 300.304(c)(4); § 300.305 Did the district assess the child in all areas related to the suspected disability, including the following:			District Response
1e	§ 51-006.02C10; § 300.304	Review of existing educational records	Yes No
1f	§ 51-006.02C10; § 300.304	Direct play-based or semi-structured interaction to observe verbal or nonverbal behaviors	Yes No
1g*	§ 51-006.02C10; § 300.304	All other referral concerns	Yes No
1h		Other assessments included:	
1i*	§ 51-006.02C10; § 300.304	Did the district ensure the child was assessed in all areas related to the suspected disability?	Yes No

^{*}Compliance item



District Response: If you answered "No" to any items above, provide explanation or relevant information:		
State compliance review notes and items with potential findings of noncompliance:		



Autism Area 2: Multidisciplinary evaluation team (MDT).				
92 Ne	92 Neb. Admin. Code § 51-003.41; § 51-006.03; 34 C.F.R. § 300.301(c); § 300.305(a)(2); § 300.306 District Response			
2a*	§ 51-003.41; § 51-006.03B; § 300.306(a)(1)	Did the MDT include all the following? Qualified professionals Yes No Child's parent Yes No If the child attends a nonpublic school, an administrator or designated representative of the nonpublic schools Yes No	Yes No	
2b*	§ 51-006.03C; § 300.306(b)(1)(i)	Did the MDT determine that the identification of the child with a disability was not primarily due to a lack of appropriate instruction in reading?	Yes No	
2c*	§ 51-006.03C; §300.306(b)	Did the MDT determine that the identification of the child with a disability was not primarily due to a lack of appropriate instruction in math?	Yes No	
2d*	§ 51-006.03C; § 300.306(b)(1)(iii)	Did the MDT determine that the identification of the child with a disability was not primarily due to limited English proficiency?	Yes No	
2e*	§ 51-006.03E1; § 300.306(a)(2)	Was an MDT report prepared, that included documentation of determination of eligibility, and a copy provided to the parent at no cost?	Yes No	
2f*	§ 51-006.03E2a; § 300.301(c)(2); § 300.306(a)(1)	Did the MDT report include a statement of whether the child met the disability definition based on the required criteria?	Yes No	
2g*	§ 51-006.03E2b; § 300.306(a)(1)	Did the MDT report include a statement of the child's educational needs?	Yes No	

^{*}Compliance item



92 Neb. Admin. Code § 51-003.41; § 51-006.03; 34 C.F.R. § 300.301(c); § 300.305(a)(2); § 300.306			District Response	
2h*	§ 51-006.03E2c	Did the MDT report a statement of the basis for making the determination?	Yes No	
2i*	§ 51-006.03E2d	Did the MDT report include a listing of the team members?	Yes No	
2j*	§ 51-006.03E3	Did each member certify in writing if the report reflects their conclusion?	Yes No	
2k*	§ 51-006.03E4	If any member certified that the report did not reflect their conclusion, did that team member submit a separate statement presenting his or her conclusion?	Yes No N/A	
Distr	ict Response: If you an	swered " No " to any items above, provide explanation or relevant information:		
State compliance review notes and items with potential findings of noncompliance:				

*Compliance item



Autism Area 3: Eligibility Determination			
92 Ne	92 Neb. Admin. Code § 51-006.04B; § 51-006.03D; 34 C.F.R. § 300.306; § 300.8(a), (c) District Response		
3a*	§ 51-006.04B; § 300.8(a), (c)(1)	Did the evaluation results demonstrate the presence of the disability of autism as defined by 92 Neb. Admin. Code § 51-006.04B and 34 C.F.R. § 300.8(c)(1)?	Yes No
3b*	§ 51-006.04B; § 300.8(c)(1); § 300.305(a)(2)(iii)	Is there documentation of adverse effect on educational performance and a need for special education services?	Yes No
3c*	§ 51-006.03D; § 300.306(c)(2)	Was an IEP developed?	Yes No
District Response: If you answered "No" to any items above, provide explanation or relevant information:			
State compliance review notes and items with potential findings of noncompliance:			

*Compliance item



General Notes	