IDEA Part C Determinations

State and PRT
OSEP Determination

- Based upon the State’s Annual Performance Report (APR) data submission:
  - Meets Requirements and purposes of IDEA Part C
  - Needs Assistance in implementing the requirements of IDEA Part C
  - Needs Intervention
  - Needs Substantial Intervention
Part C Child Outcomes Data
FFY 2013

Child Outcomes targets reset based upon current baseline data:

3A. Positive social-emotional skills
3B. Acquisition and use of knowledge and skills (including early language)
3C. Use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs.

2 Summary Statements (SS):
#1: the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth at exit or age 3
#2: the percent who were functioning within age expectations at exit or age 3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FFY</th>
<th>2013</th>
<th>2014</th>
<th>2015</th>
<th>2016</th>
<th>2017</th>
<th>2018</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3A SS1</td>
<td>39.40%</td>
<td>39.50%</td>
<td>40%</td>
<td>40.50%</td>
<td>41%</td>
<td>41.50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3A SS2</td>
<td>43.60%</td>
<td>43.70%</td>
<td>44.50%</td>
<td>45.50%</td>
<td>46%</td>
<td>47%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3B SS1</td>
<td>40.10%</td>
<td>40.20%</td>
<td>40.5%</td>
<td>41%</td>
<td>41.50%</td>
<td>42.50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3B SS2</td>
<td>33.40%</td>
<td>33.50%</td>
<td>34%</td>
<td>34.50%</td>
<td>35%</td>
<td>36%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3C SS1</td>
<td>55.70%</td>
<td>55.80%</td>
<td>56.50%</td>
<td>57%</td>
<td>58.50%</td>
<td>60%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3C SS2</td>
<td>71.10%</td>
<td>71.20%</td>
<td>72%</td>
<td>73%</td>
<td>74%</td>
<td>75%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
State Determination - Part C

- First year OSEP used both compliance and results
- Results focused on Early Childhood Outcomes (Indicator C3)
  - Data Completeness
  - Data Anomalies
  - Comparison to Other States
  - Comparison to FFY 2012 Data for Nebraska

Nebraska = 71.43% (Needs Assistance)

OSEP RDA Determination Ratings:
- Meets Requirements = 80%-100%
- Needs Assistance = 60%-79%

More information can be found at https://osep.grads360.org/#program/idea-part-c-profiles
Part C State Determination - Results

Indicator C3 - Data Completeness

- Number of Children Reported in TS Gold with Exit Data: 689
  vs.
  Number of Children Reported as Exiting Part C: 1103

NE has data for 62% of total children: Need 70% for 2 points

Nebraska Part C received 1 out of 2 possible points in this category.
Need to maximize the number of children that have entry and exit data

Partner with School Districts to:

– Monitor entry data
  
  RESOURCE: Webinar on tracking your data

– Support providers to collect exit data

  RESOURCE: One page Fact sheet- Guide to Collecting Child Outcome Data.
**Part C State Determination - Results**

**Indicator C3 - Data Anomalies**

- This score represents a summary of the data anomalies in the FFY 2013 Indicator 3 Child Outcomes Data reported by our state. Based on % of children in Categories: A, B, C, D, E

Nebraska Part C received 2 out of 2 possible points in this category.
Part C State Determination - Results

Indicator C3 - Data Comparison to Other States
• This score represents how Nebraska’s FFY 2013 Child Outcomes data compares to other states’ FFY 2013 Child Outcomes data.

Nebraska Part C received 0 out of 2 possible points in this category.
Part C State Determination - Results

Indicator C3 - Comparison of Nebraska’s FFY 13 C3 Data to FFY 12 C3 Data

- Comparison of Nebraska’s FFY 2012 Child Outcomes data to FFY 2013 Child Outcomes data to determine whether there is meaningful growth or decline in child achievement.

Compared FFY 2012 data with FFY 2013
- NE needs to maintain or significantly improve child outcomes

Nebraska Part C received 1 out of 2 possible points in this category.
Work with ECTA to identify factors contributing to outcomes

Work with Teaching Strategies to:
  • Validate the analyses for OSEP data

Partner with School Districts to:
  • Improve outcomes with children

RESOURCE: SSIP activities
## State Determination - Part C

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>RESULTS AND COMPLIANCE OVERALL SCORING</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Results Points Available</strong></td>
<td>8</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Results Points Earned</strong>&lt;sup&gt;5&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td></td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Compliance Points Available</strong></td>
<td>14</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Compliance Points Earned</strong>&lt;sup&gt;6&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td></td>
<td>92.86</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Compliance Score</strong></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Results-Driven Accountability Percentage and Determination</strong>&lt;sup&gt;7&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| 71.43%                                 | NEEDS ASSISTANCE (yellow)
Considerations for PRT Determinations

Model after OSEPs State determinations

- Separate scores for compliance and results
- Raise percentage cutoffs for “meets requirements”

Current levels of determination:

Meets Requirements (100% to 70%)
Needs Assistance (69% to 50%)
Needs Intervention (49% to 31%)
Needs Substantial Intervention (30% and below)

Proposed levels:

Meets Requirements (100% to 80%)
Needs Assistance (79% to 60%)
Needs Intervention (59% to 40%)
Needs Substantial Intervention (39% and below)

**RDA Stakeholders, SEAC, and ECICC will be providing input and guidance on new calculations**