

GUIDING QUESTIONS
Leadership for Teaching and Learning
(Proposed revision to the current Curriculum Supervisor endorsement)

1. Is the Curriculum Supervisor a viable endorsement (either as it currently appears in Rule 24 or as proposed as the Leadership for Teaching and Learning (LTL) endorsement)?
 - Should/could this endorsement be eliminated from Rule 24?
 - Could the needs of school systems be more appropriately met through quality Master's programs that do not result in an endorsement?
2. If this is a viable endorsement, should it be placed on an Administrative Certificate or on a Teaching Certificate?
 - Experience in a position requiring an administrative certificate is required for administrative certificate renewal. It is very common for individuals holding this endorsement to be assigned to teaching position codes (which do not qualify for renewal of the administrative certificate).
 - The traditional role/definition of administration has included evaluation and supervision/discipline. If the endorsement is placed on an administrative certificate, is it adequately/appropriately preparing individuals for these roles?
3. If this is a viable endorsement, should it require a Master's Degree?
4. Are there other recommendations regarding the revised endorsement?
 - For example, the Ad Hoc recommendation was to propose the revision to include the knowledge and skills currently included in the Assessment Leadership endorsement and subsequently eliminate the current Assessment Leadership endorsement. If the LTL is a viable endorsement, is there also support to eliminate the current Assessment Leadership endorsement?