



# IDEA Part C Determinations

State and PRT

# OSEP Determination

- Based upon the State's Annual Performance Report (APR) data submission:
  - Meets Requirements and purposes of IDEA Part C
  - Needs Assistance in implementing the requirements of IDEA Part C
  - Needs Intervention
  - Needs Substantial Intervention



# Part C Child Outcomes Data

## FFY 2013

Child Outcomes targets reset based upon current baseline data:

3A. Positive social-emotional skills

3B. Acquisition and use of knowledge and skills (including early language)

3C. Use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs.

### 2 Summary Statements (SS):

#1: the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth at exit or age 3

#2: the percent who were functioning within age expectations at exit or age 3

FFY	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018
3A SS1	39.40%	39.50%	40%	40.50%	41%	41.50%
3A SS2	43.60%	43.70%	44.50%	45.50%	46%	47%
<b>3B SS1</b>	<b>40.10%</b>	<b>40.20%</b>	<b>40.5%</b>	<b>41%</b>	<b>41.50%</b>	<b>42.50%</b>
3B SS2	33.40%	33.50%	34%	34.50%	35%	36%
3C SS1	55.70%	55.80%	56.50%	57%	58.50%	60%
3C SS2	71.10%	71.20%	72%	73%	74%	75%

# State Determination - Part C

- First year OSEP used both compliance and results
- Results focused on Early Childhood Outcomes (Indicator C3)
  - Data Completeness
  - Data Anomalies
  - Comparison to Other States
  - Comparison to FFY 2012 Data for Nebraska

**Nebraska = 71.43% (Needs Assistance)**

OSEP RDA Determination Ratings:

Meets Requirements= 80%-100%

Needs Assistance = 60%-79%

More information can be found at

<https://osep.grads360.org/#program/idea-part-c-profiles>

# Part C State Determination -Results

## Indicator C3 - Data Completeness

- Number of Children Reported in TS Gold with Exit Data: **689**

vs.

Number of Children Reported as Exiting Part C:  
**1103**

**NE has data for 62% of total children: Need 70% for 2 points**

Nebraska Part C received 1 out of 2 possible points in this category.





Need to maximize the number of children that have entry and exit data

Partner with School Districts to:

- Monitor entry data

**RESOURCE:** Webinar on tracking your data

- Support providers to collect exit data


**RESOURCE:** One page Fact sheet- Guide to Collecting Child Outcome Data.

# Part C State Determination -Results

## Indicator C3 - Data Anomalies

- This score represents a summary of the data anomalies in the FFY 2013 Indicator 3 Child Outcomes Data reported by our state.

Based on % of children in Categories: A, B, C, D, E




Nebraska Part C received 2 out of 2 possible points in this category.



# Part C State Determination -Results

## Indicator C3 - Data Comparison to Other States

- This score represents how Nebraska's FFY 2013 Child Outcomes data compares to other states' FFY 2013 Child Outcomes data.



Points received in the 10 percentile or higher

Nebraska Part C received 0 out of 2 possible points in this category.



# Part C State Determination -Results

## Indicator C3 - Comparison of Nebraska's FFY 13 C3 Data to FFY 12 C3 Data

- Comparison of Nebraska's FFY 2012 Child Outcomes data to FFY 2013 Child Outcomes data to determine whether there is meaningful growth or decline in child achievement.

Compares FFY 2012 data with FFY 2013

-NE needs to **maintain** or **significantly improve** child outcomes

Nebraska Part C received 1 out of 2 possible points in this category.



# /// ACTION ///

- ❑ Work with ECTA to identify factors contributing to outcomes
  
- ❑ Work with Teaching Strategies to:
  - Validate the analyses for OSEP data
  
- ❑ Partner with School Districts to:
  - Improve outcomes with children

**RESOURCE:** SSIP activities

# State Determination - Part C

## RESULTS AND COMPLIANCE OVERALL SCORING

Total Results Points Available	Results Points Earned <sup>5</sup>	Results Score
8	4	50
Total Compliance Points Available	Compliance Points Earned <sup>6</sup>	Compliance Score
14	13	92.86

## Results-Driven Accountability Percentage and Determination<sup>7</sup>

71.43%

**NEEDS ASSISTANCE (yellow)**

# Considerations for PRT Determinations

Model after OSEPs State determinations

- Separate scores for compliance and results
- Raise percentage cutoffs for “meets requirements”

Current levels of determination :

Meets Requirements (100% to 70%)

Needs Assistance (69% to 50%)

Needs Intervention (49% to 31%)

Needs Substantial Intervention (30% and below)

*Proposed levels:*

Meets Requirements (100% to 80%)

Needs Assistance (79% to 60%)

Needs Intervention (59% to 40%)

Needs Substantial Intervention (39% and below)

\*\*RDA Stakeholders, SEAC, and ECICC will be providing input and guidance on new calculations