

NEBRASKA

2014 School Health Profiles Report Chronic Targeted LEA Comparison Report

Performance Measures	Overall		Schools in Targeted LEAs		Percentage Higher Among Schools Overall*	Percentage Higher Among Schools in Targeted LEAs*	No Difference*
	Measure	%	N	%			
Supportive School Nutrition Environment (SSNE)							
SSNE 1. Percentage of schools that do not sell less healthy foods and beverages (soda pop or fruit drinks, sports drinks, baked goods, salty snacks, candy).	28.5	234	31.5	52			●
SSNE 2. Percentage of schools that prohibit all forms of advertising and promotion for candy, fast food restaurants, and soft drinks.	39.6	236	52.7	52			●
SSNE 3. Percentage of schools that price nutritional foods and beverages at a lower cost while increasing the price of less nutritious foods and beverages.	12.5	236	13.4	53			●
SSNE 4. Percentage of schools that provide information to students or families on the nutrition, caloric, and sodium content of foods available.	49.3	234	53.8	52			●

*Based on t-test analyses, $p < .05$. T-tests cannot be performed if values are 0% or 100%.
N = Unweighted number of observations.

NEBRASKA

2014 School Health Profiles Report Chronic Targeted LEA Comparison Report

Performance Measures	Overall		Schools in Targeted LEAs		Percentage Higher Among Schools Overall*	Percentage Higher Among Schools in Targeted LEAs*	No Difference*
	Measure	%	N	%			
SSNE 5. Percentage of schools that place fruits and vegetables near the cafeteria cashier, where they are easy to access.	71.7	235	85.2	53		●	
SSNE 6. Percentage of schools that allow students to have access to drinking water.	76.7	233	63.4	50	●		
SSNE 7. Percentage of schools that offer fruits or non-fried vegetables when foods or beverages are offered at school celebrations.	20.6	235	20.8	51			●
SSNE 8. Percentage of schools that allow students to purchase fruits and vegetables from vending machines or at the school store, canteen, or snack bar.	12.0	233	20.1	52			●

*Based on t-test analyses, $p < .05$. T-tests cannot be performed if values are 0% or 100%.

N = Unweighted number of observations.

NEBRASKA

2014 School Health Profiles Report Chronic Targeted LEA Comparison Report

Performance Measures	Overall		Schools in Targeted LEAs		Percentage Higher Among Schools Overall*	Percentage Higher Among Schools in Targeted LEAs*	No Difference*
Measure	%	N	%	N			
Comprehensive School Physical Activity Program (CSPAP)							
CSPAP. Percentage of schools that have established, implemented, or evaluated CSPAP.	5.0	238	17.6	53		●	

*Based on t-test analyses, $p < .05$. T-tests cannot be performed if values are 0% or 100%.
N = Unweighted number of observations.

NEBRASKA

2014 School Health Profiles Report Chronic Targeted LEA Comparison Report

Performance Measures	Overall		Schools in Targeted LEAs		Percentage Higher Among Schools Overall*	Percentage Higher Among Schools in Targeted LEAs*	No Difference*
	Measure	%	N	%			
Students with Chronic Conditions (SWCC)							
SWCC1. Percentage of schools that identify and track students with chronic conditions that may require daily or emergency management (e.g., asthma, food allergies).	98.7	237	100.0	53		●	
SWCC2. Percentage of schools that have protocols that ensure students with a chronic condition that may require daily or emergency management are enrolled into private, state, or federally funded insurance programs if eligible.	65.0	223	69.9	50			●
SWCC3. Percentage of schools that provide referrals to community-based medical care providers for students identified with chronic conditions or at risk for activity, diet, and weight-related chronic conditions.	54.2	236	55.7	53			●

*Based on t-test analyses, $p < .05$. T-tests cannot be performed if values are 0% or 100%.

N = Unweighted number of observations.