

Nebraska Part C FFY 2009 SPP/APR Status Table

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	Nebraska's Clarification												
<p>1. Percent of infants and toddlers with IFSPs who receive the early intervention services on their IFSPs in a timely manner.</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State provided targets for FFY 2011 and FFY 2012, and improvement activities through FFY 2012, and OSEP accepts those revisions.</p> <p>The State's FFY 2009 reported data for this indicator are 100%. These data remain unchanged from the FFY 2008 data of 100%. The State met its FFY 2009 target of 100%.</p>													
<p>2. Percent of infants and toddlers with IFSPs who primarily receive early intervention services in the home or community-based settings.</p> <p>[Results Indicator]</p>	<p>The State provided targets for FFY 2011 and FFY 2012, and improvement activities through FFY 2012, and OSEP accepts those revisions. The State indicated that stakeholders were provided an opportunity to comment on the targets for FFY 2011 and FFY 2012. The State revised the improvement activities for FFY 2009 and for this indicator and OSEP accepts those revisions.</p> <p>The State's FFY 2009 reported data are 95.95%. However, the State's FFY 2009 data under IDEA section 618 for this indicator are 90.19 %. These data represent slippage from the FFY 2008 data of 92.71%. The State met its FFY 2009 target of 87.5%.</p>	<p><u>The FFY 2009 APR C2 Data has been revised to reflect the FFY 2009 618 Data submitted by Nebraska.</u></p>												
<p>3. Percent of infants and toddlers with IFSPs who demonstrate improved:</p> <p>A. Positive social-emotional skills (including social relationship);</p> <p>B. Acquisition and use of knowledge and skills (including early language/communication); and</p> <p>C. Use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs.</p> <p>[Results Indicator]</p>	<p>The State provided targets for FFY 2011 and 2012 and, improvement activities through FFY 2012, and OSEP accepts those revisions. The State indicated that stakeholders were provided an opportunity to comment on the targets for FFY 2011 and FFY 2012. The State revised the baseline, targets and improvement activities for FFY 2009 for this indicator and OSEP accepts those revisions.</p> <p>The State's FFY 2009 reported data for this indicator are:</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="562 1011 1585 1498"> <thead> <tr> <th data-bbox="562 1011 966 1193"><u>Summary Statement 1</u></th> <th data-bbox="966 1011 1171 1193"><u>FFY 2008 Data</u></th> <th data-bbox="1171 1011 1377 1193"><u>Revised Baseline FFY 2009 Data</u></th> <th data-bbox="1377 1011 1585 1193"><u>FFY 2009 Target</u></th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td data-bbox="562 1193 966 1333">Outcome A: Positive social-emotional skills (including social relationships) (%)</td> <td data-bbox="966 1193 1171 1333">23.5</td> <td data-bbox="1171 1193 1377 1333">70.4</td> <td data-bbox="1377 1193 1585 1333">24.0</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="562 1333 966 1498">Outcome B: Acquisition and use of knowledge and skills (including early language/communication) (%)</td> <td data-bbox="966 1333 1171 1498">21.1</td> <td data-bbox="1171 1333 1377 1498">60.7</td> <td data-bbox="1377 1333 1585 1498">21.6</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	<u>Summary Statement 1</u>	<u>FFY 2008 Data</u>	<u>Revised Baseline FFY 2009 Data</u>	<u>FFY 2009 Target</u>	Outcome A: Positive social-emotional skills (including social relationships) (%)	23.5	70.4	24.0	Outcome B: Acquisition and use of knowledge and skills (including early language/communication) (%)	21.1	60.7	21.6	
<u>Summary Statement 1</u>	<u>FFY 2008 Data</u>	<u>Revised Baseline FFY 2009 Data</u>	<u>FFY 2009 Target</u>											
Outcome A: Positive social-emotional skills (including social relationships) (%)	23.5	70.4	24.0											
Outcome B: Acquisition and use of knowledge and skills (including early language/communication) (%)	21.1	60.7	21.6											

Nebraska Part C FFY 2009 SPP/APR Status Table

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues				Nebraska's Clarification
	Outcome C: Use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs (%)	41.6	73.8	42.1	
	<u>Summary Statement 2</u>	<u>FFY 2008 Data</u>	<u>Revised Baseline</u> <u>FFY 2009 Data</u>	<u>FFY 2009 Target</u>	
	Outcome A: Positive social-emotional skills (including social relationships) (%)	48.5	73.6	49.0	
	Outcome B: Acquisition and use of knowledge and skills (including early language/communication) (%)	46.4	64.3	46.9	
	Outcome C: Use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs (%)	54.7	68.9	55.2	
The State provided revised baseline data, using FFY 2009 data; therefore OSEP is not comparing FFY 2009 data to the FFY 2008 data. The State met its FFY 2009 targets.					
4. Percent of families participating in Part C who report that early intervention services have helped the family: A. Know their rights; B. Effectively communicate their children's needs; and C. Help their children develop and learn. [Results Indicator]	The State provided targets for FFY 2011 and FFY 2012, and improvement activities through FFY 2012, and OSEP accepts those revisions. The State indicated that stakeholders were provided an opportunity to comment on the targets for FFY 2011 and FFY 2012. The State revised the improvement activities for FFY 2009 for this indicator and OSEP accepts those revisions.				
The State's FFY 2009 reported data for this indicator are:					
	<u>FFY 2008 Data</u>	<u>FFY 2009 Data</u>	<u>FFY 2009 Target</u>	<u>Progress</u>	
A. Know their rights (%)	77	77.9	74	0.90%	
B. Effectively communicate their children's needs (%)	73	75.0	71	2.00%	

Nebraska Part C FFY 2009 SPP/APR Status Table

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues					Nebraska's Clarification
	C. Help their children develop and learn (%)	88	89.3	84	1.30%	
<p>5. Percent of infants and toddlers birth to 1 with IFSPs compared to national data.</p> <p>[Results Indicator]</p>	<p>These data represent progress from the FFY 2008 data. The State met all of its FFY 2009 targets for this indicator.</p> <p>The State provided targets for FFY 2011 and FFY 2012, and improvement activities through FFY 2012, and OSEP accepts those revisions. The State indicated that stakeholders were provided an opportunity to comment on the targets for FFY 2011 and FFY 2012. The State revised the improvement activities for FFY 2009 for this indicator and OSEP accepts those revisions.</p> <p>The State's FFY 2009 reported data for this indicator are 0.68%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2008 data of 0.66%. The State did not meet its FFY 2009 target of 0.76%.</p>					
<p>6. Percent of infants and toddlers birth to 3 with IFSPs compared to national data.</p> <p>[Results Indicator]</p>	<p>The State provided targets for FFY 2011 and FFY 2012, and improvement activities through FFY 2012, and OSEP accepts those revisions. The State indicated that stakeholders were provided an opportunity to comment on the targets for FFY 2011 and FFY 2012. The State revised the improvement activities for FFY 2009 for this indicator and OSEP accepts those revisions.</p> <p>The State's FFY 2009 reported data for this indicator are 1.86%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2008 data of 1.75%. The State met its FFY 2009 target of 1.76%.</p>					
<p>7. Percent of eligible infants and toddlers with IFSPs for whom an evaluation and assessment and an initial IFSP meeting were conducted within Part C's 45-day timeline.</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State provided targets for FFY 2011 and FFY 2012, and improvement activities through FFY 2012, and OSEP accepts those revisions.</p> <p>The State's FFY 2009 reported data for this indicator are 97.17%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2008 data of 90.83%. The State did not meet its FFY 2009 target of 100%.</p> <p>The State reported that all ten of its findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2008 for this indicator were corrected in a timely manner.</p>					
<p>8. Percent of all children exiting Part C who received timely transition planning to support the child's transition to preschool and other appropriate community</p>	<p>The State provided targets for FFY 2011 and FFY 2012, and improvement activities through FFY 2012, and OSEP accepts those revisions.</p> <p>The State's FFY 2009 reported data for this indicator are 96.7%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2008 data of 94.4%. The State did not meet its FFY 2009 target</p>					

Nebraska Part C FFY 2009 SPP/APR Status Table

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	Nebraska's Clarification
<p>services by their third birthday including:</p> <p>A. IFSPs with transition steps and services;</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>of 100%.</p> <p>The State reported that both findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2008 for this indicator were corrected in a timely manner.</p>	
<p>8. Percent of all children exiting Part C who received timely transition planning to support the child's transition to preschool and other appropriate community services by their third birthday including:</p> <p>B. Notification to LEA, if child potentially eligible for Part B; and</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State provided targets for FFY 2011 and FFY 2012, and improvement activities through FFY 2012, and OSEP accepts those revisions.</p> <p>The State's FFY 2009 reported data for this indicator are 100%. These data remain unchanged from the FFY 2008 data of 100%. The State met its FFY 2009 target of 100%.</p>	
<p>8. Percent of all children exiting Part C who received timely transition planning to support the child's transition to preschool and other appropriate community services by their third birthday including:</p> <p>C. Transition conference, if child potentially eligible for Part B.</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State provided targets for FFY 2011 and FFY 2012, and improvement activities through FFY 2012, and OSEP accepts those revisions.</p> <p>The State's FFY 2009 reported data for this indicator are 95.7%. These data represent slippage from the FFY 2008 data of 100%. The State did not meet its FFY 2009 target of 100%.</p>	
<p>9. General Supervision system (including monitoring complaints, hearings, etc.) identifies and corrects noncompliance as soon as possible but in no case later than one year from identification.</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State provided targets for FFY 2011 and FFY 2012, and improvement activities through FFY 2012, and OSEP accepts those revisions.</p> <p>The State's FFY 2009 reported data for this indicator are 100%. These data remain unchanged from the FFY 2008 data of 100%. The State reported that it met its target of 100% for this indicator.</p> <p>However, under this indicator, the State reported that it identified three findings in FFY 2008 for Indicator 7, but under that indicator, the State reported that ten findings were</p>	<p><u>Nebraska Part C revised the C-9 Worksheet data respective to Indicator 7 to reflect 10 Findings were issued and corrected as noted in the FFY 2008 APR. Clarification/Explanation: Up through FFY 2008 Nebraska reported monitoring findings</u></p>

Nebraska Part C FFY 2009 SPP/APR Status Table

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	Nebraska's Clarification
	<p>identified. Under this indicator, the State reported that it corrected three findings for Indicator 8A, but under that indicator, the State reported that it issued two written findings for FFY 2008. Further, under this indicator, the State reported that it corrected three findings for Indicator 8C in FFY 2008, however under that indicator; the State reported that it did not identify any findings. Because of these inconsistencies, OSEP cannot determine whether the data reported for this indicator are valid and reliable.</p>	<p><u>of each individual instance of noncompliance as a separate finding (10 Findings), as guided by the OSEP September 3, 2008 FAQ Identification and Correction of Noncompliance and Reporting on Correction in the SPP/APR. Beginning in FFY 2009, Nebraska decided to utilize the 2010 OSEP Update on Identification and Correction of Noncompliance in which multiple records of noncompliance for the same legal requirement within 1 EIS program is considered 1 Finding. In the process of making This transition, Nebraska inadvertently reported Correction of Noncompliance by EIS program vs. each individual instance of noncompliance in C9, Indicator 7. Please note Nebraska's revision to this Indicator.</u></p> <p><u>Nebraska Part C did not make revisions to the C-9 Worksheet respective to Indicators 8A and 8C.</u></p> <p><u>Clarification/Explanation for 8A: The State made 3 Findings on the C9 Worksheet Indicator 8A and verified all 3 Findings/issues of noncompliance were corrected within the timeline required. This data differs from data reported in FFY 2008 APR</u></p>

Nebraska Part C FFY 2009 SPP/APR Status Table

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	Nebraska's Clarification
		<p><u>Indicator 8A, which reflects only 2 Findings. This is due to the State reporting an additional Finding for a related requirement on the C-9 worksheet under the appropriate indicator, thus addressing all areas of noncompliance in 8A as instructed by the September 2008 OSEP FAQ and the Updated 2009 OSEP FAQ Guidance on Identification and Correction of Noncompliance.</u></p> <p><u>Clarification/Explanation for 8C: The State reported 3 Findings on the C-9 Worksheet for Indicator 8C and verified all 3 Findings/issues of noncompliance were corrected within the timeline required. This data differs from data reported in the FFY 2008 APR as the State discovered this error in FFY 2009 when entering data for the C-9 Worksheet, Indicator 8C, and is correctly reporting this data and subsequent correction of noncompliance in the FFY 2009 APR within the C-9 Worksheet.</u></p>
<p>10. Percent of signed written complaints with reports issued that were resolved within 60-day timeline or a timeline extended for exceptional circumstances with respect to a particular complaint. [Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State provided targets for FFY 2011 and FFY 2012, and improvement activities through FFY 2012, and OSEP accepts those revisions.</p> <p>The State reported that it did not receive any signed written complaints during the reporting period.</p>	

Nebraska Part C FFY 2009 SPP/APR Status Table

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	Nebraska's Clarification
<p>11. Percent of fully adjudicated due process hearing requests that were fully adjudicated within the applicable timeline.</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State provided targets for FFY 2011 and FFY 2012, and improvement activities through FFY 2012, and OSEP accepts those revisions.</p> <p>The State reported that it did not receive any requests for due process hearings during the reporting period.</p>	
<p>12. Percent of hearing requests that went to resolution sessions that were resolved through resolution session settlement agreements (applicable if Part B due process procedures are adopted).</p> <p>[Results Indicator]</p>	<p>The State reported that no resolution sessions were held during the reporting period.</p> <p>The State reported fewer than ten resolution sessions held in FFY 2009. The State is not required to provide its targets or improvement activities until any fiscal year in which ten or more resolution sessions were held.</p>	
<p>13. Percent of mediations held that resulted in mediation agreements.</p> <p>[Results Indicator]</p>	<p>The State reported that no mediations were held during the reporting period.</p> <p>The State reported fewer than ten mediations held in FFY 2009. The State is not required to provide targets or improvement activities except in any fiscal year in which ten or more mediations were held.</p>	
<p>14. State reported data (618 and State Performance Plan and Annual Performance Report) are timely and accurate.</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State provided targets for FFY 2011 and FFY 2012, and improvement activities through FFY 2012, and OSEP accepts those revisions.</p> <p>The State's FFY 2009 reported data for this indicator are 100%. However, OSEP's calculation of the data for this indicator is 98.6%. These data represent slippage from the FFY 2008 data of 100%. The State did not meet its FFY 2009 target of 100%.</p> <p>OSEP has attached a copy of the Indicator C14 Data Rubric.</p>	